

## Computation of Some Physical Properties of Lubricating Oils at High Pressures

### I. Density

R. B. DOW AND C. E. FINK

*School of Chemistry and Physics, The Pennsylvania State College, State College, Pennsylvania*

(Received October 24, 1939)

From a survey of available data on the density of fluid lubricants as a function of pressure and temperature, the following empirical equation has been derived:

$$\rho = \rho_0(1 + ap - bp^2)$$

$\rho$  is the density at a given pressure (gage)  $p$  and temperature  $t$ ;  $\rho_0$  is the corresponding density at atmospheric pressure. The constants  $a$  and  $b$  are evaluated over a temperature range extending from 20° to 220°F and the density equation is valid over a pressure range of 50,000 lb./in.<sup>2</sup>. While the equation was derived from data on mineral oils it has been found to hold equally well for animal, vegetable, and fish oils. The variation of density with pressure is independent of the nature of the oil.

at 104°F and 167°F at pressures up to 50,000 lb./in.<sup>2</sup>, and then fractionated the oil into narrow-boiling cuts and obtained data on ten of these cuts.

The striking result of all these investigations is the fact that, within the range of experimental error, all of the oils have the same compressibility. Thus the oils studied in Europe by Hyde, who used the moving piston type of apparatus to make his measurements, showed the same behavior in this respect as the oils studied in this country by Dow who used the sylphon apparatus. Furthermore, the work of Dow included extreme types of oils such as a typical Pennsylvania oil which is noted for its high paraffinicity and low temperature coefficient of viscosity, and a typical Gulf Coast oil which is characterized by the fact that it contains large amounts of naphthenic and aromatic types of molecules and has a relatively high temperature coefficient of viscosity. The work of Dow and Fenske, moreover, shows that the generality in regard to compressibility may be extended to narrow-boiling fractions which vary considerably in boiling point and molecular weight. This insensitivity of compressibility, within the range of experimental error, among the wide variety of oils studied is strikingly different from other properties that have been studied under pressure, as, for example, viscosity.<sup>4</sup>

From an experimental standpoint, therefore,

<sup>4</sup> R. B. Dow, *J. App. Phys.* 8, 367 (1937).

<sup>1</sup> Hyde, *Proc. Roy. Soc.* A97, 240 (1920).

<sup>2</sup> Dow, *J. Wash. Acad. Sci.* 24, 516 (1934).

<sup>3</sup> Dow and M. R. Fenske, *Ind. and Eng. Chem.* 27,

1935.



(b)

Electrocardiogram, (a) Cathode ray record, (b) Ink writer record.

same voltage give the same pressure

plitude linearity characteristic, is shown in Fig. 8. At small and large amplitudes the hysteresis effect similar to that from magnetic materials. This appreciable error in the measurements encountered in biological work.

### Electrocardiograms

Therefore, probably the best recorder is comparison of the with a known wave form of the. Electrocardiograms recorded in biological work, and a wide range of comparison have been used to check the instrument. Fig. 9 shows the electrocardiogram, as recorded by the cathode ray and by the ink

### CONCLUSIONS AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Ink-writing oscillographs are in the recording of biophysical analysis of the electromechanical element is simplified by determining critical network. From the amplitude-frequency, and time constants can be calculated. While proved by inserting a wave amplifying cannot be achieved by a piezoelectric device because of inefficient of coupling, greater obtainable in a crystal.

ing and best frequency response is equivalent. The best test of comparison of records with the measured potential. Such comparison with the instrument described, express my gratitude to Dr. J. H. D. in the theoretical part of the work, and to Dr. R. W. Gerard for his assistance.